

HUPD-9704
 FISIST/6-97/CFIF
 hep-ph/97xxxxx

The top quark mass and flavor mixing in a Seesaw model of Quark Masses

T. Morozumi^{*} and T. Satou[†]

Department of Physics, Hiroshima University
 1-3-1 Kagamiyama, 739 Higashi Hiroshima, Japan

M. N. Rebelo[‡]

CFIF/IST and Departamento de Física
 Instituto Superior Técnico
 Av. Rovisco Pais, P-1096 Lisboa Codex, Portugal

M. Tanimoto[§]

Science Education Laboratory, Ehime University
 Bunkyo-cho, 790 Matsuyama, Japan

Abstract

The top quark mass and the flavor mixing are studied in the context of a Seesaw model of Quark Masses based on the gauge group $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)$. Six isosinglet quarks are introduced to give rise to the mass hierarchy of ordinary quarks. In this scheme, we reexamine a mechanism for the generation of the top quark mass. It is shown that, in order to prevent the Seesaw mechanism to act for the top quark, the mass parameter of its isosinglet partner must be much smaller than the breaking scale of $SU(2)_R$. As a result the fourth lightest up quark must have a mass of the order of the breaking scale of $SU(2)_R$, and a large mixing between the right-handed top quark and its singlet partner occurs. We also show that this mechanism is compatible with the mass spectrum of light quarks and their flavor mixing.

^{*}E-mail address : morozumi@theo.phys.sci.hiroshima-u.ac.jp

[†]E-mail address : t.satou@kakuri2.pc.phys.sci.hiroshima-u.ac.jp

[‡]E-mail address : rebelo@beta.ist.utl.pt

[§]E-mail address : tanimoto@edserv.ed. ehime-u.ac.jp

1 Introduction

The Seesaw mechanism [1], [2] was initially invented to explain the smallness of neutrino masses. Also, in a different framework, the smallness of masses of quarks other than the top quark compared to the scale of the electroweak symmetry breaking can be explained by a Seesaw Model of Quark Masses [3], [4], [5], [6], [7]. In the context of $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)$ models including isosinglet quarks, it has been shown that the light ordinary quark masses are proportional to the breaking scales of $SU(2)_L$, (η_L) and $SU(2)_R$, (η_R) and are inversely proportional to the isosinglet quark mass M , i.e., $O\left(\frac{\eta_L \eta_R}{M}\right)$. Conventionally, the isosinglet quark mass M is assumed to be much larger than η_R . This assumption leads to an explanation for the smallness of quark masses compared to the scale of the electroweak symmetry breaking. Though the Seesaw mechanism explains the smallness of the mass of the five flavors from the up quark to the bottom quark, it has not been shown that the top quark with a mass of $O(\eta_L)$ can be incorporated into the same scheme. In this letter, we study the top quark mass as well as the mass hierarchy of the up and down quark sectors in the context of the Seesaw mechanism. We show that the mass formulae for the light quarks proposed before is not valid for the top quark and must be replaced by a new one. The mass hierarchy of the light quarks and flavor mixing are studied in the same context by ref. [5], [6], [7] under the assumption that the isosinglet quark diagonal mass parameter is much larger than the breaking scale of $SU(2)_R$. However, under the same assumption the top quark mass would also be much smaller than the breaking scale of $SU(2)_L$ unless the corresponding Yukawa coupling between isosinglet and isodoublet quarks is chosen to be very large. As we show later, if the diagonal mass parameter for the isosinglet partner of the top quark is much smaller than η_R , the Seesaw mechanism does not act for the top quark and its mass can be kept at the scale of η_L without introducing a large Yukawa coupling. In this case the heavier mass eigenstate is as light as η_R rather than being given by the singlet mass parameter. Because one of the mass eigenstates is as light as η_R , the flavor mixing and the stability of the light quark masses against the inclusion of flavor off-diagonal Yukawa couplings is a non-trivial problem, we study the light quark mass spectrum and flavor mixing taking into consideration the special rôle played by the top quark. We obtain the approximate mass formulae for quark masses and show that the mass hierarchy is stable against flavor mixing.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we present the results for the diagonalization of the mass matrix for the top quark and its isosinglet partner. The mixing between singlet and doublet quarks is discussed both for left- and right-handed chiralities. In section 3, the mass spectrum of both light and heavy quarks is obtained by introducing flavor off-diagonal couplings. In section 4, the mixing angles are obtained. In section 5, we summarize the results.

2 The top quark mass and singlet-doublet mixing in a Seesaw model

The gauge group of the model is $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)$ and the ordinary quarks, isosinglet quarks and the relevant Higgs scalars are assigned to the following gauge group representations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_L^i &= \begin{pmatrix} u^i \\ d^i \end{pmatrix}_L : (2, 1, 1/3), \\
\psi_R^i &= \begin{pmatrix} u^i \\ d^i \end{pmatrix}_R : (1, 2, 1/3), \\
U_{L,R}^i & : (1, 1, 4/3), \\
D_{L,R}^i & : (1, 1, -2/3), \\
\phi_L & : (2, 1, 1), \\
\phi_R & : (1, 2, 1),
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $i = 1, 2, 3$. By introducing an isodoublet Higgs ϕ_L (ϕ_R) for $SU(2)_L$ ($SU(2)_R$), the Yukawa interaction between doublet and singlet quarks and the mass term for the singlet quarks of the model are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_y &= -y_{LD}^{ij} \bar{\psi}_L^i \phi_L D_R^j - y_{LU}^{ij} \bar{\psi}_L^i \tilde{\phi}_L U_R^j \\
&\quad - y_{RD}^{ij} \bar{\psi}_R^i \phi_R D_L^j - y_{RU}^{ij} \bar{\psi}_R^i \tilde{\phi}_R U_L^j + (h.c.) \\
&\quad - \bar{U}^i M_U^i U^i - \bar{D}^i M_D^i D^i,
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where i and j are summed over from 1 to 3; $y_{L\{R\}D(U)}$ is the strength of the Yukawa coupling between the down(up) type left-handed {right-handed} isodoublet quark and isosinglet quark; $y_{L(R)}$ are 3×3 matrices; $M_U^i (M_D^i)$ are given by $M_U^1 = M_U$, $M_U^2 = M_C$, $M_U^3 = M_T$, $M_D^1 = M_D$, $M_D^2 = M_S$ and $M_D^3 = M_B$. Without loss of generality, the singlet quark mass matrix can be transformed into a real diagonal matrix through a bi-unitary transformation. The scale of the singlet mass parameter is going to be set later.

We first focus on the case in which the flavor mixing is absent and study the top quark sector. The mass eigenstates and eigenvalues for the top quark and its isosinglet partner are obtained by diagonalizing the two by two matrix:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\bar{t} \ \bar{T})_L \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_L \eta_L \\ y_R^* \eta_R & M_T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t \\ T \end{pmatrix}_R \\
&= (\bar{t}^m \ T^m)_L \begin{pmatrix} m_t & 0 \\ 0 & m_T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t^m \\ T^m \end{pmatrix}_R.
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The mass eigenvalues and the mixing angles for the left-handed chiralities are obtained by diagonalizing $MM^\dagger$:

$$MM^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} |y_L|^2 \eta_L^2 & y_L \eta_L M_T \\ y_L^* \eta_L M_T & M_T^2 + |y_R|^2 \eta_R^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where $\eta_{L(R)}$ are the vacuum expectation values of the neutral Higgs particles,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_L \rangle &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \eta_L \end{pmatrix}, \\ \langle \phi_R \rangle &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \eta_R \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The vacuum expectation values are related to the masses of the charged $SU(2)_{L(R)}$ gauge bosons by

$$\begin{aligned} M_L^2 &= \frac{1}{2} g^2 \eta_L^2, \\ M_R^2 &= \frac{1}{2} g^2 \eta_R^2, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where g is the $SU(2)_{L(R)}$ gauge coupling constant. Therefore the mass eigenvalues are written in terms of the gauge boson masses as:

$$m_t \cong \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{|y_L y_R|}{g^2} \right) M_L \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{|y_R|}{g} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{M_T}{\sqrt{2} M_R} \right)^2}}, \quad (7)$$

$$m_T \cong \sqrt{2} M_R \sqrt{\left(\frac{|y_R|}{g} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{M_T}{\sqrt{2} M_R} \right)^2}. \quad (8)$$

If $M_T \gg M_R$, the lighter mass eigenvalue m_t in Eq.(7) is reduced to the well known Seesaw formulae for light quarks, i.e., $O\left(\frac{M_L M_R}{M_T} \frac{|y_L y_R|}{g^2}\right)$. However, for the top quark, we should take the limit $M_T \ll M_R$. Then the suppression factor $\frac{M_R}{M_T}$ is now absent and its mass is given by

$$m_t \cong \sqrt{2} \frac{|y_L|}{g} M_L, \quad (9)$$

which is at the scale of M_L . On the other hand, the heaviest eigenvalue m_T in Eq.(8) is

$$m_T \cong \sqrt{2} \frac{|y_R|}{g} M_R, \quad (10)$$

which is at the symmetry breaking scale of $SU(2)_R$ instead of being given by the singlet mass parameter M_T . To reproduce the value of the top quark mass in Eq.(9), the Yukawa coupling y_L must be a few times larger than the gauge coupling g . We

assume that it is still within the perturbative region. There is a simple reason why the top quark mass is determined by M_L and its partner's mass is proportional to M_R . To show this, let us ignore the mass term of the singlet quark and keep only singlet-doublet mixing terms. Then the Yukawa term of the top quark and its singlet partner is

$$\mathcal{L} = -y_L \eta_L \bar{t}_L T_R - y_R^* \eta_R \bar{T}_L t_R + (h.c.). \quad (11)$$

From Eq.(11) the mass term can be diagonalized by the following rotations,

$$\begin{pmatrix} t^m \\ T^m \end{pmatrix}_L = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ T \end{pmatrix}_L, \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} t^m \\ T^m \end{pmatrix}_R = \begin{pmatrix} T \\ t \end{pmatrix}_R. \quad (13)$$

Then the mass for t^m is $|y_L|\eta_L$ and the mass for T^m is $|y_R|\eta_R$. We note that the isosinglet and doublet mixing is maximal for the right-handed sector. The large mixing between the top quark and its singlet partner predicted in this model should be possible to observe in an experiment of $t\bar{t}$ production.

In the limit where flavor mixing is absent we obtain, from the Lagrangean of Eq.(2), mass matrices for the pairs (u,U), (c,C), (d,D), (s,S) and (b,B), generically denoted by (q,Q), similar to the one for the pairs (t,T) in Eq.(3). The eigenvalues are also given by expressions of the form Eq.(7) and Eq.(8) with M_T replaced by the corresponding mass parameter M_Q . In order for the Seesaw mechanism to act, so that the masses we obtain for the light quarks are much smaller than M_L , we need $M_Q \gg M_R$. Then Eq.(9) and Eq.(10) are replaced by

$$m_q \cong 2 \frac{|y_L y_R|}{g^2} M_L \left(\frac{M_R}{M_Q} \right), \quad (14)$$

$$m_Q \cong M_Q. \quad (15)$$

If M_R is fixed, we can estimate the order of magnitude of M_Q for each case. In these cases the mixing is suppressed by a factor of $(\frac{\eta_L}{M_Q})$ for the left-handed components and $(\frac{\eta_R}{M_Q})$ for the right-handed ones [7].

In the next section we extend our analysis to the case where flavor mixing mass terms are present.

3 Mass formulae including flavor mixing in the Seesaw model

In this section we derive the mass formulae in the case with mixings among different quark flavors. We start with the six by six mass matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \eta_L y_L \\ \eta_R y_R^\dagger & M_{Diag} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

where M_{Diag} is a three by three diagonal mass matrix,

$$M_{Diag} = \begin{bmatrix} M_U & & \\ & M_C & \\ & & M_T \end{bmatrix}, \quad (17)$$

and y_L and y_R are rank 3 matrices. The eigenvalue equation for the quark masses is given by

$$\det(MM^\dagger - \Lambda) = \det \begin{bmatrix} \eta_L^2 y_L y_L^\dagger - \Lambda & y_L M_{Diag} \eta_L \\ \eta_L M_{Diag} y_L^\dagger & \eta_R^2 y_R^\dagger y_R + M_{Diag}^2 - \Lambda \end{bmatrix} = 0. \quad (18)$$

The equation which determines the eigenvalues of order of η_L^2 (or smaller than η_L^2) is reduced to a cubic equation:

$$\det \left[y_L \left\{ 1 - M_{Diag} (\eta_R^2 y_R^\dagger y_R + M_{Diag}^2)^{-1} M_{Diag} \right\} y_L^\dagger - \lambda \right] = 0, \quad (19)$$

where we use the normalized eigenvalue $\lambda = \frac{\Lambda}{\eta_L^2}$. This equation determines the ordinary quark masses. We further use the expansion as follows,

$$(\eta_R^2 y_R^\dagger y_R + M_{Diag}^2)^{-1} = \frac{1}{M_0} \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{M_0} \Delta M^2 \frac{1}{M_0} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{M_0} \Delta M^2 \frac{1}{M_0} \right)^2 + \dots \right] \frac{1}{M_0}, \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_0 &= \begin{bmatrix} M_U & & \\ & M_C & \\ & & \eta_R \sqrt{(y_R^\dagger y_R)_{33}} \end{bmatrix}, \\ \Delta M^2 &= \eta_R^2 y_R^\dagger y_R + M_{Diag}^2 - M_0^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we assume $M_U, M_C \gg \eta_R \gg M_T$. As a result the unperturbed part of $(\eta_R^2 y_R^\dagger y_R + M_{Diag}^2)$ is given by M_0^2 rather than by M_{Diag}^2 . Eq.(19) is expressed in the simple form,

$$\det [y_L Y y_L^\dagger - \lambda] = 0, \quad (21)$$

where the leading terms of Y , obtained by using the expansion of Eq.(20), are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{11} &= X_U^2 [(y_R^\dagger y_R)_{11} - \frac{(y_R^\dagger y_R)_{13} (y_R^\dagger y_R)_{31}}{(y_R^\dagger y_R)_{33}}], \\ Y_{12} &= X_U X_C [(y_R^\dagger y_R)_{12} - \frac{(y_R^\dagger y_R)_{13} (y_R^\dagger y_R)_{32}}{(y_R^\dagger y_R)_{33}}], \\ Y_{13} &= \frac{X_U X_T (y_R^\dagger y_R)_{13}}{(y_R^\dagger y_R)_{33}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_{22} &= X_C^2 [(y_R^\dagger y_R)_{22} - \frac{(y_R^\dagger y_R)_{23}(y_R^\dagger y_R)_{32}}{(y_R^\dagger y_R)_{33}}], \\
Y_{23} &= \frac{X_C X_T (y_R^\dagger y_R)_{23}}{(y_R^\dagger y_R)_{33}}, \\
Y_{33} &= 1 - \frac{X_T^2}{(y_R^\dagger y_R)_{33}} + \frac{X_T^4}{(y_R^\dagger y_R)_{33}},
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where $Y^\dagger = Y$ and X_U, X_C and X_T are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
X_U &= \frac{\eta_R}{M_U}, \\
X_C &= \frac{\eta_R}{M_C}, \\
X_T &= \frac{M_T}{\eta_R \sqrt{(y_R^\dagger y_R)_{33}}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

The eigenvalue equation now becomes

$$F(\lambda) = -\lambda^3 + \lambda^2 \text{Tr}[y_L^\dagger y_L Y] - \gamma \lambda + |\det y_L|^2 \det Y = 0. \tag{24}$$

The coefficients for each term of Eq.(24) are

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}[y_L^\dagger y_L Y] &\cong (y_L^\dagger y_L)_{33}, \\
\gamma &\cong X_C^2 |\alpha_{R2}|^2 |\alpha_{L2}|^2 (y_L^\dagger y_L)_{33}, \\
\det Y &\cong X_U^2 X_C^2 (|\alpha_{R1}|^2 |\alpha_{R2}|^2 - |\alpha_{R1}^\dagger \cdot \alpha_{R2}|^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where we are keeping the leading term for each coefficient. Here α and β are complex vectors which are related to the three complex vectors ($\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \mathbf{y}_3$) of the matrix y_L and y_R . To show the relation, let us first write y_L and y_R as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
y_L &= (\mathbf{y}_{L1}, \mathbf{y}_{L2}, \mathbf{y}_{L3}), \\
y_R &= (\mathbf{y}_{R1}, \mathbf{y}_{R2}, \mathbf{y}_{R3}).
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Then α and β are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{LI} &= \mathbf{y}_{LI} - \frac{\mathbf{y}_{L3}^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{y}_{LI}}{|\mathbf{y}_{L3}|^2} \mathbf{y}_{L3}, \\
\alpha_{RI} &= \mathbf{y}_{RI} - \frac{\mathbf{y}_{R3}^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{y}_{RI}}{|\mathbf{y}_{R3}|^2} \mathbf{y}_{R3}, \\
\beta_{L1} &= \alpha_{L1} - \frac{\alpha_{L2}^\dagger \cdot \alpha_{L1}}{|\alpha_{L2}|^2} \alpha_{L2}, \\
\beta_{R1} &= \alpha_{R1} - \frac{\alpha_{R2}^\dagger \cdot \alpha_{R1}}{|\alpha_{R2}|^2} \alpha_{R2},
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where $I = 1, 2$. Geometrically $\alpha_I (I = 1, 2)$ are the projections of $\mathbf{y}_I$ onto the plane perpendicular to the vector $\mathbf{y}_3$, and β_1 is the projection of α_1 onto the line perpendicular to α_2 and $\mathbf{y}_3$. To find the solutions of Eq.(24), we first set the order of magnitude of X_U and X_C as follows. If we neglect flavor mixing, in the Seesaw model, the up quark mass is given by $\frac{M_L M_R}{M_U}$ with $y_{L(R)} \simeq g$. Therefore the Seesaw suppression factor $X_U = \frac{M_R}{M_U}$ is of the order of $\frac{m_u}{M_L} \simeq 10^{-5}$. With a similar argument, we have $X_C = \frac{M_R}{M_C} \simeq 10^{-2}$ by assuming that the strength of the Yukawa coupling does not depend significantly on the flavor. By setting the magnitude of X_U and X_C in this way, we obtain an approximate mass formula for the case of flavor mixing. The point is that in the approximation that the strengths of all Yukawa couplings do not depend significantly on the flavor, the eigenvalue equation Eq.(24) has the following form,

$$F(\lambda) = -\lambda^3 + O(1)\lambda^2 - O(X_C^2)\lambda + O(X_U^2 X_C^2) = 0. \quad (28)$$

The solutions of Eq.(28) are hierarchical, i.e., $\lambda = O(X_U^2), O(X_C^2), O(1)$ with $X_U \ll X_C \ll 1$. Taking into account the structure of the Yukawa coupling strengths the solutions are of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} m_t^2 &\cong |\mathbf{y}_{LU3}|^2 \eta_L^2, \\ m_c^2 &\cong X_C^2 |\alpha_{RU2}|^2 |\alpha_{LU2}|^2 \eta_L^2, \\ m_u^2 &\cong X_U^2 |\beta_{RU1}|^2 |\beta_{LU1}|^2 \eta_L^2. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

The heavier eigenvalues are also obtained as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} m_U^2 &\cong M_U^2, \\ m_C^2 &\cong M_C^2, \\ m_T^2 &\cong |\mathbf{y}_{RU3}|^2 \eta_R^2. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

In the case of the down type quarks the masses are obtained by assuming $M_D \gg M_S \gg M_B \gg \eta_R$:

$$\begin{aligned} m_b^2 &\cong X_B^2 |\mathbf{y}_{LD3}|^2 |\mathbf{y}_{RD3}|^2 \eta_L^2, \\ m_s^2 &\cong X_S^2 |\alpha_{RD2}|^2 |\alpha_{LD2}|^2 \eta_L^2, \\ m_d^2 &\cong X_D^2 |\beta_{RD1}|^2 |\beta_{LD1}|^2 \eta_L^2, \\ m_D^2 &\cong M_D^2, \\ m_S^2 &\cong M_S^2, \\ m_B^2 &\cong M_B^2. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

with $X_B = \frac{\eta_R}{M_B}$, $X_S = \frac{\eta_R}{M_S}$ and $X_D = \frac{\eta_R}{M_D}$.

We would like to stress the following points.

- The mass formulae for the five light quarks are of the Seesaw type. They are proportional to η_L , η_R and inversely proportional to the singlet quark mass. They are also proportional to the appropriate projection of the Yukawa couplings $y_{LUI}, y_{RUI} (I = 1, 2)$ for the up and the charm quarks and $y_{LDI}, y_{RDI} (I = 1, 2, 3)$ for the down type quarks.

- The top quark mass (m_t) is propotional to η_L and the length of the vector $\mathbf{y}_{LU3}$. The other mass eigenvalue (m_T) is propotional to η_R and the length of $\mathbf{y}_{RU3}$.
- The masses of the remaining five heavier quarks are given to a good approximation by the mass parameters M_{U_i} ($i = 1, 2$) and M_{D_i} ($i = 1, 2, 3$).

4 Flavor mixing

In order to find the mixing angles (CKM matrix) among left (right) chiralities of quarks, it is convenient to perform a unitary transformation among ordinary quarks such that the singlet-doublet Yukawa couplings, i.e., y_L and y_R become triangular matrices,

$$y_{L\{R\}U(D)} = U_{U(D)L\{R\}} \begin{bmatrix} \times & 0 & 0 \\ \times & \times & 0 \\ \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}, \quad (32)$$

where the U 's are unitary matrices. This decomposition can always be done as proved in the Appendix. Further we introduce a new basis denoted by u', d' which is related to the original basis by the unitary matrices,

$$\begin{aligned} u'_L &= U_{UL}^\dagger u_L, \\ u'_R &= U_{UR}^\dagger u_R, \\ d'_L &= U_{DL}^\dagger d_L, \\ u'_R &= U_{DR}^\dagger d_R. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

In this new basis, the 6×6 matrix M is transformed into

$$M' = \begin{bmatrix} U_L^\dagger & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} M \begin{bmatrix} U_R & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (34)$$

The explicit form of M' for the up type quarks is given by

$$M' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta_{LU11}\eta_L & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta_{LU21}\eta_L & |\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{LU2}|\eta_L & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{LU31}\eta_L & \alpha_{LU32}\eta_L & |\mathbf{y}_{LU3}|\eta_L \\ \beta_{RU11}^*\eta_R & \beta_{RU21}^*\eta_R & \alpha_{RU31}^*\eta_R & M_U & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & |\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{RU2}|\eta_R & \alpha_{RU32}^*\eta_R & 0 & M_C & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & |\mathbf{y}_{RU3}|\eta_R & 0 & 0 & M_T \end{bmatrix}, \quad (35)$$

where $|\beta_{LU11}| = |\boldsymbol{\beta}_{LU1}|$ and $|\beta_{RU11}| = |\boldsymbol{\beta}_{RU1}|$; their phases can be obtained from the Appendix; $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{LD2}$, $\mathbf{y}_{LD3}$, $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{LU2}$ and $\mathbf{y}_{LU3}$ are defined by Eq.(26) and Eq.(27). In this form, u' only couples to U . Therefore the up quark mass is determined by the mass of the heaviest singlet quark U and is not affected by the presence of the other

Doublet-Singlet	$\tan \theta_{qL} \exp(i\phi_{qL})$	$\tan \theta_{qR} \exp(i\phi_{qR})$
$u' - U$	$\beta_{LU11}\eta_L/M_U$	$\beta_{RU11}\eta_R/M_U$
$c' - C$	$ \alpha_{LU2} \eta_L/M_C$	$ \alpha_{RU2} \eta_R/M_C$
$t' - T$	$ \mathbf{y}_{LU3} \eta_L M_T/ \mathbf{y}_{RU3} ^2\eta_R^2$	$ \mathbf{y}_{RU3} \eta_R/M_T$
$d' - D$	$\beta_{LD11}\eta_L/M_D$	$\beta_{RD11}\eta_R/M_D$
$s' - S$	$ \alpha_{LD2} \eta_L/M_S$	$ \alpha_{RD2} \eta_R/M_S$
$b' - B$	$ \mathbf{y}_{LD3} \eta_L/M_B$	$ \mathbf{y}_{RD3} \eta_R/M_B$

Table 1: Singlet and Doublet mixing angles

lighter singlet quarks. The c' couples to both U and C . However its mass is mainly determined by the mass of C and the effect of U is tiny because $M_U \gg M_C$. As a result the matrix M' can be approximated by the following block diagonal matrix M'^0 ,

$$M'^0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta_{LU11}\eta_L & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & |\alpha_{LU2}|\eta_L & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & |\mathbf{y}_{LU3}|\eta_L \\ \beta_{RU11}^*\eta_R & 0 & 0 & M_U & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & |\alpha_{RU2}|\eta_R & 0 & 0 & M_C & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & |\mathbf{y}_{RU3}|\eta_R & 0 & 0 & M_T \end{bmatrix}. \quad (36)$$

The off-diagonal elements of M' which do not appear in M'^0 can be treated as a perturbation. In this approximation, each doublet quark only couples to one of the singlet quarks. So the eigenvalues and eigenstates are obtained by diagonalizing two by two matrices. It is interesting to see that the eigenvalues of M'^0 agree with those obtained in Eq.(29) and Eq.(30) with the same assumption for the singlet quark mass parameters. In addition the mass eigenstates are defined by the following rotation between the doublet quark q' and the singlet quark Q ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} q^m \\ Q^m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_q & -\sin \theta_q e^{-i\phi_q} \\ \sin \theta_q e^{i\phi_q} & \cos \theta_q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q' \\ Q \end{pmatrix}. \quad (37)$$

In Table 1, we show the mixing angles between singlet and doublet quarks for both chiralities. It can be seen that the singlet to doublet mixing for the five light quarks is strongly suppressed being at least of the order $\frac{\eta_R(\eta_L)}{M}$ for right (left) -handed quarks. For the top quark, there is a suppression of the left-handed mixing angles of the order $\frac{\eta_L M_T}{\eta_R^2}$ while the right-handed mixing angle is not suppressed. In the following analysis, we only keep the right-handed mixing angle for the top quark and its singlet partner and set the other singlet to doublet mixing angles to zero. In this approximation, the left-handed charged currents and the CKM matrix are given by

$$j_{\mu L}^- = \overline{u^m_{Li}} \gamma_\mu V_{Lij} d^m_{Lj}, \quad (38)$$

where the CKM matrix is written, in terms of the unitary matrices defined before, as:

$$V_L = U_{UL}^\dagger U_{DL}. \quad (39)$$

Within this approximation, we do not have FCNC for the left-handed neutral isospin current. On the other hand, the right-handed charged currents are given by

$$\begin{aligned} j_{\mu R}^- &= \overline{u_R^m} \gamma_\mu V_{R1j} d_R^m + \overline{c_R^m} \gamma_\mu V_{R2j} d_R^m \\ &+ \cos \theta_{tR} \overline{t_R^m} \gamma_\mu V_{R3j} d_R^m + \sin \theta_{tR} \overline{T_R^m} \gamma_\mu V_{R3j} d_R^m, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where $V_R = U_{UR}^\dagger U_{DR}$ and $\theta_{tR} = \tan^{-1}(|y_{RU3}| \eta_R / M_T)$ as shown in Table 1. The right-handed neutral isospin currents are

$$\begin{aligned} j_{\mu R}^3 &= \frac{1}{2} \{ \overline{u_R^m} \gamma_\mu u_R^m + \overline{c_R^m} \gamma_\mu c_R^m \\ &+ (\cos \theta_{tR})^2 \overline{t_R^m} \gamma_\mu t_R^m + (\sin \theta_{tR})^2 \overline{T_R^m} \gamma_\mu T_R^m \\ &+ \sin \theta_{tR} \cos \theta_{tR} \overline{T_R^m} \gamma_\mu t_R^m + (h.c.) \\ &- \overline{d_R^m} \gamma_\mu d_R^m \}, \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

in both currents a sum over j running from 1 to 3 is implied. Because $\theta_{tR} \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}$ as $M_T \rightarrow 0$, there is a large mixing for T_R^m in the right-handed charged current $j_{\mu R}^-$ and the right-handed neutral current $j_{\mu R}^3$.

5 Summary

We study a mechanism for the generation of the top quark mass and flavor mixing in the context of a Seesaw model for quark masses. When the mass parameter M_T for the isosinglet quark is smaller than the symmetry breaking scale of $SU(2)_R$, the lightest of the two eigenstates is at the breaking scale of $SU(2)_L$ and can be identified with the top quark, the heaviest one will be found at the symmetry breaking scale of $SU(2)_R$. We also study the mass hierarchy of ordinary quarks by including the flavor mixing. The stability of the light quark masses against flavor mixing is explicitly shown. The dependence on the strength of the Yukawa couplings is nontrivial and we have given a simple geometrical interpretation for it. The singlet-doublet mixing is suppressed except in the case of the mixing between the right-handed top quark and its singlet partner. This large mixing angle is a characteristic of our model and may be checked in the top quark production experiments.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank T. Muta and G. C. Branco for helpful discussions and A. Sugamoto for a suggestion. We would like to thank Y. Koide for conversations about his

work and results. This work is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Joint International Scientific Research (#08044089) from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan, and JSPS which made exchange programs of M.N.R. and T.M. possible.

Note Added

After completing our work, we have received a paper by Y. Koide and H. Fusaoka [8] in which the enhancement of the top quark mass in a Seesaw model is studied. They found that the top quark mass is enhanced to the electroweak symmetry breaking scale at the singular point ($b_f = -1/3$) of their singlet quark mass matrix (democratic matrix + $b_f \times$ unit matrix). Their singular point would correspond to $M_T = 0$ in our case. Ignoring flavor mixing, the mass scale we obtained for the fourth lightest up type quark together with the mass formula for the top quark coincides with their result at the singular point. Still, our approach differs considerably from theirs and the mass formulae and the flavor mixing we obtain for the light quarks are quite different. We attribute the mass hierarchy of the five light quarks to the mass hierarchy of the five heavy singlet quark mass parameters and assume that the Yukawa couplings among the singlet and doublet quarks do not depend significantly on the flavour whilst they start from a specific type of the singlet quark mass matrix and introduce flavor dependent Yukawa couplings. As a result our formulae for the five light quarks cannot be directly translated into their framework. Furthermore their model gives constraints on the CKM matrix unlike ours where we cannot make definite predictions.

References

- [1] T. Yanagida, in Proceedings of the Workshop on "The Unified Theory and the Baryon Number of the Universe", Edited by Osamu Sawada and Akio Sugamoto, KEK 13-14 Feb 1979 (KEK-79-18).
- [2] M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond and R. Slansky in Sanibel Talk, CALT-68-709, Feb. 1979, and in "Supergravity" (North Holland, Amsterdam 1979).
- [3] Z. G. Berezhiani, *Phys. Lett.* **129B** (1983) 99; *Phys. Lett.* **150B** (1985) 177.
- [4] D. Chang, R. N. Mohapatra, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58** (1987) 1600.
- [5] J. Rajpoot, *Phys. Lett.* **B191** (1987) 122.
- [6] A. Davidson and K. C. Wali, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59** (1987) 393.
- [7] K. S. Babu and R. N. Mohapatra, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62** (1989) 1079.
- [8] Y. Koide and H. Fusaoka, *Z. Phys.* **C71** (1996) 459.

Appendix

We prove the decomposition of $y_{L(R)}$ into a unitary matrix and a triangular matrix. Let us write a rank 3 matrix y with three complex vectors in C^3 ,

$$y = (\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \mathbf{y}_3), \quad (42)$$

where y is the singlet-doublet Yukawa coupling $y_{L(R)}$ in our case. Choose three orthonormal vectors $U = (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3)$ with $\mathbf{u}_3 = \frac{\mathbf{y}_3}{|\mathbf{y}_3|}$. Multiplying $U^\dagger$ on the left hand side of y , we obtain

$$U^\dagger y = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & 0 \\ \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} & 0 \\ \alpha_{31} & \alpha_{32} & |\mathbf{y}_3| \end{bmatrix}, \quad (43)$$

where $\alpha_{ij} = \mathbf{u}_i^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{y}_j$. Then we define two vectors in C^2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} \\ \alpha_{21} \end{bmatrix}, \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{12} \\ \alpha_{22} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

We also define two orthonormal vectors $\mathbf{v}_1$ and $\mathbf{v}_2$ with $\mathbf{v}_2 = \frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2}{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2|}$ in C^2 . With these two vectors, we can form another unitary matrix V ,

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 & \mathbf{v}_2 & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (45)$$

By multiplying $V^\dagger$ to $U^\dagger y$, we finally obtain a triangular form,

$$V^\dagger U^\dagger y = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_{21} & |\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2| & 0 \\ \alpha_{31} & \alpha_{32} & |\mathbf{y}_3| \end{bmatrix}, \quad (46)$$

where $\beta_{ij} = \mathbf{v}_i^\dagger \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}_j$. Then y is written as the product of a unitary matrix and a triangular matrix.